

Last time

- Internet Application Security and Privacy
 - Authentication
 - Security controls using cryptography
 - Link-layer security: WEP

This time

- Internet Application Security and Privacy
 - Link-layer security: WEP, WPA, WPA2
 - Network-layer security: VPN, IPSec

WEP data integrity

- Problem 2: the checksum used in WEP is CRC-32
 - Quite a poor choice; there's already a CRC in the protocol to detect random errors, and a CRC can't help you protect against malicious errors.
- The CRC has two important properties:
 - It is independent of k and v
 - It is **linear**: $c(M \text{ XOR } D) = c(M) \text{ XOR } c(D)$
- Why is linearity a pessimal property for your integrity mechanism to have when used in conjunction with a stream cipher?

WEP access control

- What if the adversary wants to inject a new message F onto a WEP-protected network?
- All he needs is a single plaintext/ciphertext pair
- This gives him a value of v and the corresponding keystream $RC4(v,k)$
- Then $C' = \langle F, c(F) \rangle \text{ XOR } RC4(v,k)$, and he transmits v, C' .
- C' is in fact a correct encryption of F , so the message must be accepted.

WEP authentication protocol

- How did we get that single plaintext/ciphertext pair we needed just now?
 - Problem 3: It turns out the authentication protocol gives it to the adversary **for free!**
- This is a major disaster in the design!
- The authentication protocol is supposed to prove that a certain client knows the shared secret k
- But if I watch you prove it, I can turn around and execute the protocol myself!
 - “What’s the password?”

WEP authentication protocol

- Here's the protocol:
 - The access point sends a challenge string to the client
 - The client sends back the challenge, WEP-encrypted with the shared secret k
 - The base station checks if the challenge is correctly encrypted, and if so, accepts the client
- So the adversary has just seen both the plaintext and the ciphertext of the challenge
- Problem number 4: this is enough not only to inject packets (as in the previous attack), but also **to execute the authentication protocol himself!**

WEP decryption

- Somewhat surprisingly, the ability to modify and inject packets also leads to ways to adversary can **decrypt** packets!
 - The access point knows k ; it turns out the adversary can trick it into decrypting the packet for him and telling him the result.
- Note that none of the attacks so far:
 - Used the fact that the stream cipher was RC4 specifically
 - Recovered k

Recovering a WEP key

- Since 2002, there have been a series of analyses of RC4 in particular
 - Problem number 5: it turns out that when RC4 is used with similar keys, the output keystream has a subtle weakness
 - And this is how WEP uses RC4!
- These observations have led to programs that can recover either a 104-bit or 40-bit WEP key in **under 60 seconds**, most of the time
 - See the optional reading for more information on this

Replacing WEP

- Wi-fi Protected Access (WPA) was rolled out as a short-term patch to WEP while formal standards for a replacement protocol (IEEE 802.11i, later called WPA2) were being developed
- WPA:
 - Replaces CRC-32 with a real MAC (here called a MIC to avoid confusion with a Media Access Control address)
 - IV is 48 bits
 - Key is changed frequently (TKIP)
 - Ability to use 802.11x authentication server
 - But maintains less-secure PSK (Pre-Shared Key) mode for home users
 - Able to run on most older WEP hardware

Replacing WEP

- The 802.11i standard was finalized in 2004, and the result (called WPA2) has been required for products calling themselves “Wi-fi” since 2006
- WPA2:
 - Replaces the RC4 and MIC algorithms in WPA with the CCMP algorithm, which uses AES
 - Considered strong, except in PSK mode
 - Dictionary attacks still possible

Network-layer security

- Suppose every link in our network had strong link-layer security
- Why would this not be enough?
- We need security **across** networks
 - Ideally, **end-to-end**
- At the network layer, this is usually accomplished with a Virtual Private Network (VPN)

Virtual Private Networks

- Connect two (or more) networks that are physically isolated, and make them appear to be a single network
 - Alternately: connect a single remote host (often a laptop) to one network
- Goal: adversary between the networks should not be able to read or modify the traffic flowing across the VPN
 - But DoS and some traffic analysis still usually possible

Setting up a VPN

- One host on each side is the **VPN gateway**
 - Could be the firewall itself, or could be in DMZ
 - In the laptop scenario, it will of course be the laptop itself on its side
- Traffic destined for the “other side” is sent to the local VPN gateway
- The local VPN gateway uses cryptography (encryption and integrity techniques) to send the traffic to the remote VPN gateway
 - Often by **tunnelling**
- The remote gateway decrypts the messages and sends them on to their appropriate destinations

Tunnelling

- Tunnelling is the sending of messages of one protocol inside (that is, as the payload of) messages of another protocol, out of their usual protocol nesting sequence
 - So TCP-over-IP **is not** tunnelling, since you're supposed to send TCP (a transport protocol) over IP (a network protocol; one layer down in the stack)
 - But IP-over-TCP **is** tunnelling (going up the stack instead of down), as are IP-over-IP (same place in the stack), and PPP (a link layer protocol; bottom of the stack) over DNS (an application layer protocol; top of the stack)

IPSec

- One standard way to set up a VPN is by using IPSec
- Many corporate VPNs use this (open) protocol
- Two modes:
 - **Transport** mode
 - Useful for connecting a single laptop to a home network
 - Only the contents of the original IP packet are encrypted and authenticated
 - **Tunnel** mode
 - Useful for connecting two networks
 - The contents **and the header** of the original IP packet are encrypted and authenticated; result is placed inside a new IP packet destined for the remote VPN gateway

Other styles of VPNs

- In addition to IPSec, there are a number of other standard ways to set up a VPN
- Microsoft's PPTP was an older protocol
 - It had about as many design flaws as WEP
 - Most users now migrating to IPSec
- VPNs based on ssh
 - Tunnel PPP over ssh
 - That is, IP-over-PPP-over-ssh-over-TCP-over-IP
 - Some efficiency concern, but extremely easy to set up on a standard Unix/Linux box
 - OpenSSH v4 supports IP-over-SSH tunnelling directly

Recap

- Internet Application Security and Privacy
 - Link-layer security: WEP, WPA, WPA2
 - Network-layer security: VPN, IPSec

Next time

- Internet Application Security and Privacy
 - Transport-layer security and privacy: TLS / SSL, Tor
 - The Nymity Slider
 - Application-layer security and privacy: ssh, remailers