

CS489/689

Privacy, Cryptography, Network and Data Security

Winter 2023, Tuesday/Thursday 8:30-9:50am

Last Class: Padding Attack and MAC/Encrypt

- Learn activities were due today
- Responses will be used to finish the content
- If the content will not fit within the remainder of the crypto section Thursday I will record a lecture and release it with slides on Learn next week

Today: DLP, El Gamal, ...

$$h = g^x, \text{ find } x$$



It's supposed to be hard to find x



I bet we can use that



But don't forget about me

Discrete Logarithm Problem

The Discrete Logarithm Problem

Given $(g,h) \in \mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{G}$, find $x \in \mathbf{Z}_q^*$ such that:

$$h = g^x$$

(Here \mathbf{G} is a multiplicative group of prime order q)

Solutions to the Discrete Logarithm Problem?

If there's one solution, there are infinitely many (thank you Fermat's little theorem)

Fermat's Little Theorem (Recall attack Naive RSA)

Theorem: Let p be a prime number and let a be any integer.

Then:

$$a^{p-1} \equiv \begin{cases} 1 \pmod{p} & \text{if } p \text{ does not divide } a \\ 0 \pmod{p} & \text{if } p \text{ does divide } a, p|a \end{cases}$$

How to solve DLP in cyclic groups of prime order?

- Is the group cyclic, finite, and abelian?



Baby-step/Giant-step algorithms!!!

How to solve DLP in cyclic groups of prime order?

- Is the group cyclic, finite, and abelian?



Ohhhhhh. Divide and conquer since the bottleneck is solving DLP in the cyclic subgroups of prime order.

Baby-step/Giant-step algorithms!!!



How to solve DLP in cyclic groups of prime order?

- Is the group cyclic, finite, and abelian?



Ohhhhhh. Divide and conquer since the bottleneck is solving DLP in the cyclic subgroups of prime order.

Baby-step/Giant-step algorithms!!!



For **generic groups**, the complexity of the Baby-step/giant-step algorithm dominates the time required.

How to solve DLP in cyclic group of prime order?

- Is the group cyclic, finite, and of prime order?

NOTE: for any actual group there may be specialized algorithms which work faster.



Ob-



giant-step !!!

tleneck is e order.



For general group the complexity of the Baby-step/giant-step algorithm dominates the time required.

Baby-Step/Giant-Step Algorithm? Notation.

- A public cyclic group $G = \langle g \rangle$ which has prime order p
- $h \in G$, goal: find $x \pmod{p}$ such that $h = g^x$

- Divide and conquer?

$$x = x_0 + x_1 * \lceil \sqrt{p} \rceil$$

Baby-Step/Giant-Step Algorithm? Notation.

- A public cyclic group $G = \langle g \rangle$ which has prime order p
- $h \in G$, goal: find $x \pmod{p}$ such that $h = g^x$

- Divide and conquer?

$$x = x_0 + x_1 * \lceil \sqrt{p} \rceil$$



Now what?

Baby-step/Giant-Step Algorithm

1. $x = x_0 + x_1 * \lceil \sqrt{p} \rceil$



Baby-step/Giant-Step Algorithm

1. $x = x_0 + x_1 * \lceil \sqrt{p} \rceil$
2. $0 \leq x_0, x_1 < \lceil \sqrt{p} \rceil$
- 3.

Since $0 \leq x \leq p$, ...



Baby-step/Giant-Step Algorithm

1. $x = x_0 + x_1 * \lceil \sqrt{p} \rceil$
2. $0 \leq x_0, x_1 < \lceil \sqrt{p} \rceil$
3. Baby-step: $g_i \leftarrow g^i$ for $0 \leq i < \lceil \sqrt{p} \rceil$



Baby-step/Giant-Step Algorithm

1. $x = x_0 + x_1 * \lceil \sqrt{p} \rceil$

2. $0 \leq x_0, x_1 < \lceil \sqrt{p} \rceil$

3. Baby-step: $g_i \leftarrow g^i$ for $0 \leq i < \lceil \sqrt{p} \rceil$

Produces pairs: (g_i, i)



Baby-step/Giant-Step Algorithm

1. $x = x_0 + x_1 * \lceil \sqrt{p} \rceil$
2. $0 \leq x_0, x_1 < \lceil \sqrt{p} \rceil$
3. Baby-step: $g_i \leftarrow g^i$ for $0 \leq i < \lceil \sqrt{p} \rceil$
4. Giant-step: $h_j \leftarrow h * g^{-j \lceil \sqrt{p} \rceil}$, for $0 \leq j < \lceil \sqrt{p} \rceil$
- 5.

Produces pairs: (h_j, j)



Baby-step/Giant-Step Algorithm

1. $x = x_0 + x_1 * \lceil \sqrt{p} \rceil$
2. $0 \leq x_0, x_1 < \lceil \sqrt{p} \rceil$
3. Baby-step: $g_i \leftarrow g^i$ for $0 \leq i < \lceil \sqrt{p} \rceil$
4. Giant-step: $h_j \leftarrow h * g^{-j \lceil \sqrt{p} \rceil}$, for $0 \leq j < \lceil \sqrt{p} \rceil$
5. Try to find a batch between baby-step and giant-step



Overall time and space $O(\sqrt{p})$

Baby-step/Giant-Step Algorithm

1. $x = x_0 + x_1 * \lceil \sqrt{p} \rceil$

2. $0 \leq x_0, x_1 < \lceil \sqrt{p} \rceil$

3. Baby-step

4. Giant-step

5. Try to find

Note: For DLP in group G to be “difficult enough” (e.g., 2^{128} operations), needs prime order subgroup of size greater than 2^{256}



Overall time and space $O(\sqrt{p})$

DLP Example, $182 = 64^x \pmod{607}$

- Note: the subgroup of order 101 in F_{607} , generated by $g=64$

i	i	$64^i \pmod{607}$
0	6	
1	7	
2	8	
3	9	
4	10	
5	-	

Baby-step: $g_i \leftarrow g^i$ for $0 \leq i < \lceil \sqrt{p} \rceil$

$g = 64$

$\lceil \sqrt{p} \rceil = 10$

j	j	$182 \cdot 64^{-11*j} \pmod{607}$
6	6	
7	7	
8	8	
9	9	
4	10	
5	-	



DLP Example, $182 = 64^x \pmod{607}$

i		i	$64^i \pmod{607}$
0	1	6	330
1	64	7	482
2	454	8	498
3	527	9	308
4	343	10	288
5	100	-	



Giant-step: $h_j \leftarrow h * g^{-j \lceil \sqrt{p} \rceil} \pmod{607}$

$g = 64$
 $\lceil \sqrt{p} \rceil = 10$

j		j	h_j
0	1	0	
1	64	1	
2	454	2	
3	527	3	
4	343	4	
5	100	5	

DLP Example, $182 = 64^x \pmod{607}$

i		i	$64^i \pmod{607}$
0	1	6	330
1	64	7	482
2	454	8	498
3	527	9	308
4	343	10	288
5	100	-	



Collision?

j		j	$182 * 64^{-11*j} \pmod{607}$
0	182	6	60
1	143	7	394
2	69	8	483
3	271	9	76
4	343	10	580
5	573	-	

DLP Example, $182 = 64^x \pmod{607}$

i		i	$64^i \pmod{607}$
0	1	6	330
1	64	7	482
2	454	8	498
3	527	9	308
4	343	10	288
5	100	-	



Collision?

j		j	$182 * 64^{-11*j} \pmod{607}$
0	182	6	60
1	143	7	394
2	69	8	483
3	271	9	76
4	343	10	580
5	573	-	

DLP Example, $182 = 64^x \pmod{607}$

i		i	$64^i \pmod{607}$
0	1	6	330
1	64	7	482
2	454	8	498
3	527	9	308
4	343	10	288
5	100		



Collision?

j		j	$182 \cdot 64^{-11 \cdot j} \pmod{607}$
0	182	6	60
1	143	7	394
2	69	8	483
3	271	9	76
4	343	10	580

Match when $i=4$ and $j=4$.

DLP Example, $182 = 64^x \pmod{607}$

i		i	$64^i \pmod{607}$
0	1	6	330
1	64	7	482
2	454	8	498
3	527	9	308
4	343	10	288
5	100		



Collision?

j		j	$182 * 64^{-11*j} \pmod{607}$
0	182	6	60
1	143	7	394
2	69	8	483
3	271	9	76
4	343	10	580

So: $x = 4 + 11*4 = 48$.

DLP Example, $182 = 64^x \pmod{607}$

i		i	$64^i \pmod{607}$
0	1	6	330
1	64	7	482
2	454	8	498
3	527	9	308
4	343	10	288
5	100		



Collision?

j		j	$182 * 64^{-11*j} \pmod{607}$
0	182	6	60
1	143	7	394
2	69	8	483
3	271	9	76
4	343	10	580

Verify: $64^{48} \pmod{607} = 182$

So: $x = 4 + 11*4 = 48$.



The value x

Q: Consider, $h = g^x$ and that x has been chosen such that the base-2 representation has few non-zeros.

The value x

Q: Consider, $h = g^x$ and $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{31}^*$ has been chosen such that the base-2 representation has few non-zeros. Let $g = 3$ and $h = 11$. Each Y_b is length five with 2 bits of value 1.

Recall,

Giant: $g_i \leftarrow g^i$

Baby: $h_j \leftarrow h * g^{-j \lceil \sqrt{31} \rceil}$

Giant-Step

Y_1	
00011	$g^{\text{val}(00011)}$
00110	$g^{\text{val}(00110)}$
00101	$g^{\text{val}(00101)}$
\vdots	\vdots
10010	$g^{\text{val}(10010)}$
10001	$g^{\text{val}(10001)}$

Baby-Step

Y_2	
00011	$h \cdot g^{-\text{val}(00011)}$
00110	$h \cdot g^{-\text{val}(00110)}$
00101	$h \cdot g^{-\text{val}(00101)}$
\vdots	\vdots
10010	$h \cdot g^{-\text{val}(10010)}$
10001	$h \cdot g^{-\text{val}(10001)}$

The value x

Q: Consider, $h = g^x$ and $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{31}^*$ has been chosen such that the base-2 representation has few non-zeros. Let $g = 3$ and $h = 11$. Each Y_b is length five with 2 bits of value 1.

Recall,

Giant: $g_i \leftarrow g^i$

Baby: $h_j \leftarrow h \cdot g^{-j \lceil \sqrt{31} \rceil}$

Giant-Step		Baby-Step	
Y_1		Y_2	
00011	27	00011	5
00110	16	00110	22
00101	26	00101	$h \cdot g^{-\text{val}(00101)}$
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
10010	4	10010	$h \cdot g^{-\text{val}(10010)}$
10001	22	10001	$h \cdot g^{-\text{val}(10001)}$
$x =$		$17 + 6 =$	23

Note: A pink arrow points from the '22' in the Baby-Step row to the '22' in the Giant-Step row, with a question mark above it.

Submit a match and four other rows.

Thursday: More Cryptography...

Symmetric

Ciphers

**Hash
Functions**

**Message
Auth. codes**

PRFs

Asymmetric

PKE

**Digital
Signatures**

**Key
Exchange**

FAQ: Groups/Math Definitions

A Group:

- A set with an operation on its elements which
 - Is closed
 - Has an identity
 - Is associative, and
 - Every element has an inverse
- Commutative groups are called **abelian**

Groups with properties

- A cyclic group of prime order cannot be broken down into smaller groups
- Cyclic subgroups are generated by a generator g raised to a series of powers (the group consists of all its integer powers)

Mini Proof of Fermat's Little Theorem

- If $p|a$, then every power of a is divisible p .

Mini Proof of Fermat's Little Theorem

- If $p|a$, then every power of a is divisible p . **So we can skip it.**

Mini Proof of Fermat's Little Theorem

- If $p|a$, then every power of a is divisible p . **So we can skip it.**
- So what about when p doesn't divide a ?
- $a, 2a, 3a, \dots, (p-1)a$ reduced modulo p



... $p-1$ numbers in the list...we claim they are all different.

Mini Proof of Fermat's Little Theorem

- If $p|a$, then every power of a is divisible p . **So we can skip it.**
- So what about when p doesn't divide a ?
- $a, 2a, 3a, \dots, (p-1)a$ reduced modulo p



... $p-1$ numbers in the list...we claim they are all different.

Mini Proof of Fermat's Little Theorem

- If $p|a$, then every power of a is divisible p . **So we can skip it.**
- So what about when p doesn't divide a ?
- $a, 2a, 3a, \dots, (p-1)a$ reduced modulo p



Could you explain why?



... $p-1$ numbers in the list...we claim they are all different.

Mini Proof of Fermat's Little Theorem

- When p doesn't divide a ?
- $a, 2a, 3a, \dots, (p-1)a$ reduced modulo p
- Consider $ja \bmod p$ and $ka \bmod p$

1) Suppose they are the same



Mini Proof of Fermat's Little Theorem


- When p doesn't divide a ?
- $a, 2a, 3a, \dots, (p-1)a$ reduced modulo p
- Consider $ja \pmod p$ and $ka \pmod p$
- Then, $ja \equiv ka \pmod p$, and, $(j-k)a \equiv 0 \pmod p$

1) Suppose they are the same



Mini Proof of Fermat's Little Theorem

- When p doesn't divide a ?
- $a, 2a, 3a, \dots, (p-1)a$ reduced modulo p
- Consider $ja \pmod p$ and $ka \pmod p$
- Then, $ja \equiv ka \pmod p$, and, $(j-k)a \equiv 0 \pmod p$




1) Suppose they are the same

2) Thus $p \mid (j-k)a$

Mini Proof of Fermat's Little Theorem

- When p doesn't divide a ?
- $a, 2a, 3a, \dots, (p-1)a$ reduced modulo p
- Consider $ja \bmod p$ and $ka \bmod p$
- Then, $ja \equiv ka \pmod{p}$, and, $(j-k)a \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$
- Etc...
-



1) Suppose they are the same

2) Thus $p \mid (j-k)a$